

Appl. No. 10/732,998  
Filed: December 11, 2003

### **SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW**

On January 9, 2006, Applicants' representative, Ron Schoenbaum, conducted a telephone interview with Examiner Patel to discuss the outstanding Office Action. During the interview, Mr. Schoenbaum pointed out specific claim limitations in independent Claims 1 and 8 that are not present in the prior art described in the background section of the present application. In addition, Mr. Schoenbaum proposed minor amendments to Claim 1 to clarify that "retrieving," as used in the claim, refers to retrieving into the recited microprocessor. With respect to Claim 8, Examiner Patel suggested amending the claim to clarify that "general purpose random access memory" means a random access memory that lacks cache tag comparison circuitry. Mr. Schoenbaum also proposed the addition of a new independent claim to the application, but did not discuss this claim in detail with the Examiner. No other prior art references or claims were discussed during the interview. Mr. Patel indicated that he would conduct an updated search before making a determination regarding the patentability of the claims.